**KURT G. ALME** 

First Assistant U.S. Attorney

U.S. Attorney's Office

P.O. Box 1478

Billings, MT 59103

2929 Third Ave. North, Suite 400

Billings, MT 59101

Phone: (406) 657-6101 FAX: (406) 657-6989

E-Mail: kurt.alme@usdoj.gov

ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF MONTANA BILLINGS DIVISION

OFFER OF PROOF

On April 7, 2009, the defendant is scheduled to appear before the Court to enter a plea of guilty to the charge of Misprision of a Felony, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 4 as contained in the information filed in this case. The United States submits this Offer of Proof to provide the factual basis for the defendant's plea.

In order for the defendant to be found guilty of this charge, the United States must prove each of the following elements beyond a reasonable doubt:

First, the crime of mail fraud was committed by someone other than the defendant;

Second, the defendant had actual knowledge of that fact;

Third, the defendant failed to notify authorities of the offense; and

Fourth, the defendant took an affirmative step to conceal the crime.

If called upon to prove the case at trial, the United States would present the following evidence to prove these elements:

1. The defendant worked for a car dealership in Montana until January, 2007, serving in the roles of comptroller and office manager. She had actual knowledge of the following facts showing that her supervisor committed the crime of mail fraud.

- 2. From at least September, 2005 until October, 2006 the defendant's supervisor knew that employees at the car dealership represented to customers who traded-in vehicles with outstanding loans that their loans would be paid off by the dealership. Because the dealership was suffering financial problems and could not payoff all the loans, the supervisor told employees, including the defendant, not to payoff the loans on certain vehicles that had been traded-in. Instead, the supervisor directed employees, including the defendant, to make payments on those loans to try to keep the loans current.
- 3. The supervisor lied and directed the defendant to lie to customers who called asking why the loans on their traded-in vehicles had not been paid off. Specifically, on or about September 13, 2006, the defendant concealed the fraud by lying to a customer and telling him that his loan was not paid off because a girl who worked at the dealership quit and another went to a different department.
- 4. The supervisor also knew that employees at the dealership did not tell customers purchasing traded-in vehicles that the vehicles had outstanding liens. The supervisor also knew that employees represented to the purchasing customers' lenders, or knew that the

purchasing customers represented to their lenders, that the purchased

vehicles did not have outstanding liens when, in fact, he knew that

some of them did.

5. On or about October 5, 2006, the supervisor caused to be

mailed with the U.S. Postal Service a \$600 check from the dealership to

Wells Fargo Bank which was holding the loan on a vehicle that had

been traded-in in order to try to keep the customer current on the loan.

6. The defendant did not as soon as possible make known the

crime to someone in authority. She did not make known the crime to

anyone in authority at all until she was confronted by federal law

enforcement.

DATED this 6th day of April, 2009.

WILLIAM W. MERCER

United States Attorney

\_/s/ Kurt G. Alme

KURT G. ALME

First Assistant U.S. Attorney